

§12) Holomorphic families of rational maps

Def: Let Λ be a connected complex manifold (possibly singular).

A holomorphic family of rational maps (in \mathbb{P}^1), parametrised by Λ , is

a holomorphic map $f: \Lambda \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$
 $(\lambda, z) \mapsto f_\lambda(z)$

(Holomorphic = holomorphic in each coordinate.)

Examples: $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}$, $f: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ family of quadratic polynomials

$$\text{For cubic poly: } (c, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \quad f_{(c,z)}(z) = \frac{1}{3}z^3 - \frac{c}{2}z^2 + c^3, \quad C(f_{(c,z)}) = \{0, c\} \text{ i.e. critical points are marked}$$

Berni: $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, $f_\lambda: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rational map on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of some degree d_λ .

In the previous example $d_\lambda = 2$.

d_λ in general may drop. We call this phenomenon "degeneration".

We will assume $d_\lambda = d \geq 2$ from now on.

We will also assume that the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has marked critical points

Def: The family $f: \Lambda \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ($f = (f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$) has marked critical points if there exist maps $\lambda \in \Lambda \mapsto c_{j,\lambda} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $j=1 \dots d-2$, so that $C_{f_\lambda} = \{c_{1,\lambda}, c_{2,\lambda}, \dots, c_{d-2,\lambda}\}$

(In general, one could need multivalued maps to follow critical points).

We will also denote $F_\lambda := F(f_\lambda)$ and $J_\lambda := J(f_\lambda)$

We want to understand how J_λ (F_λ) varies with λ .

Def: If for any j , the maps $\{\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda^n(c_{j,\lambda}), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ form a normal family of a neighbourhood of $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, then we say that λ_0 is J -stable.

We denote by $Sstab(P)$ the set of J -stable parameters. Its complementary set $\Lambda \setminus Sstab(P)$

^{open set}

is called the Bifurcation Locus $\text{Bif}(f)$ (or the \mathbb{I} -stable locus)
 \cap closed set

Proposition. Let $(f_c)_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$, $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ be the Mandelbrot family of quadratic polynomials.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid (f_c(\omega))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded}\}$.

Then $\text{Bif}(f) = \partial \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. If $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{M}$, and U nbhd of λ_0 in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{M}$, then $\forall c \in U$, $(f_c(\omega))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

diverges uniformly from compact of \mathbb{C} . $\Rightarrow c_0 \in \text{Stab}(f)$

$\forall c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and U nbhd of c_0 in \mathbb{C} , $(f_c(\omega))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. In particular

$\cos f_c^n(\omega)$ has images in a ~~hyperbolic~~ R-S, and is hence normal, and $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{stable}}$.

$\forall c_0 \in \partial \mathcal{M}$, $\forall U$ nbhd of c_0 , $U \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, and any limit function cannot be continuous. Hence $c_0 \in \text{Bif}(f)$. \square

To study how I_λ and F_λ moves, it is natural to study how periodic points move. In fact, recall that $I_\lambda = \overline{\text{Rep}(f_\lambda)}$, while Fatou components are preperiodic associated to periodic cycles (but for Herman rings).

Remark: If z_0 is a fixed point of f_{λ_0} with multiplier $f'_{\lambda}(z_0) \neq 1$, then there exists

a holomorphic function $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ defined on a nbhd of λ_0 and such that
 $z(\lambda_0) = z_0$, $z'(\lambda) = f_\lambda(z(\lambda))$.

This follows from the implicit function theorem applied to $f_\lambda(z) - z = 0$.

We now investigate this phenomena for non-local perturbations.

Prop: Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of rational maps of constant degree $d \geq 2$, and $U \subset \Lambda$ a simply connected open set.

Let z_0 be a repelling fixed point of f_{λ_0} , $\lambda_0 \in U$, and $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ the map locally defined on a neighbourhood of λ_0 as in the Remark.

Then, either:

(i) $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ prolongs holomorphically on U , and $z(\lambda)$ is repelling for f_λ for all $\lambda \in U$; or.

(ii) $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ prolongs holomorphically along a path γ from λ_0 to λ_1 in U so that $z(\lambda_1)$ is an attracting fixed point of f_{λ_1} .

Proof: let E_0 be the connected component of the analytic set $\{(\lambda, z) \in U \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid f_\lambda(z) = z\}$ containing (λ_0, z_0) .

If $(\lambda, z) \in E_0$ and $f'_\lambda(z) \neq 1$, then (λ, z) is a regular point of E_0 (by implicit function theorem).

If $|f'_\lambda(z)| \neq 1 \quad \forall (\lambda, z) \in E_0$, then $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ prolongs to all U (since U is simply connected).

If $|f'_\lambda(z(\lambda))| > 1 \quad \forall \lambda \in U$, we are in case (i)

If not, the map $(\lambda, z) \mapsto f'_\lambda(z)$ restricted to E_0 is not constant, hence it is open. Under the assumption that $|f'_\lambda(z(\lambda))| \neq 1 \quad \forall \lambda \in U$, we conclude that there exists $(\lambda_1, z_1) \in E_0$ with $|f'_{\lambda_1}(z_1)| < 1$. (this will be case (ii)).

In fact, in this case we can avoid (again by openness) the locus where $f'_\lambda(z) = 1$ (which contains the singular set of E_0), and find a path γ where $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ prolongs holomorphically and joins λ_0 and λ_1 .

□

Holomorphic motions and λ -lemma.

These motions have been introduced by Mañé, Sad, Sullivan.

Def: let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. A holomorphic motion of a set $E = E_{\lambda_0} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ parameterized by (λ, λ_0) is a family of injections $\Xi_\lambda : E \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$, such that $\Xi_{\lambda_0} = \text{Id}_E$ and such that $\lambda \mapsto \Xi_\lambda(z)$ is holomorphic for any $z \in E$

Example: This is the content of the previous proposition with $E_{d_0} = \{z_0\}$, at least in case (c). (12.4)

Theorem (λ -lemme) A holomorphic motion of a set E admits a unique extension to a holomorphic motion of \widehat{E} .

Moreover, this extension $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}: \Lambda \times \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ is continuous, and $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, the map $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda: \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ is the restriction of a quasiconformal map of \widehat{E} .

We won't see the proof of this result, but some of its applications.

Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of rational functions.

Denote by $E = E_{d_0}$ the set of repelling cycles of f_{d_0} ($E = \text{Rep}(f_{d_0})$).

Since repelling cycles are stable under perturbation, any cycle $\underset{\substack{z \in E \\ \text{cycle}}}{z} \in E$ follows a holomorphic motion $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$, with λ in a suitable nbhd $U_z \subset \Lambda$ of d_0 . $\{z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}\}$

If $\exists U$ open nbhd of d_0 , $U \subset \bigcap_{z \in E} U_z$, the $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda: E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ defines a holomorphic motion. It follows from the λ -lemme and the fact that $\overline{E_{d_0}} = \overline{\text{Rep}(f_{d_0})} = J_{d_0}$.

But we have a holomorphic motion of J_{d_0} .

It turns out (mainly by uniqueness of the extension of a holomorphic motion) that the holomorphic motion of J_{d_0} is compatible with the dynamics, in the following sense:

Definition: Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a holomorphic family of rational maps, and $d_0 \in \Lambda$.

We say that the Julia sets J_λ vary holomorphically if there exist a holomorphic motion $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda: J_{d_0} \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ s.t. $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda(J_{d_0}) = J_\lambda$ and such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda \circ f_{d_0}(z) = f_\lambda \circ \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda(z) \quad \forall z \in J_{d_0}. \quad (*)$$

Rem: all these concepts can be expressed locally at $d_0 \in \Lambda$ by replacing Λ with a nbhd U of d_0 in Λ .

Rem $(*)$ comes from the statement for $\text{Rep}(f_\lambda)$, plus a continuity argument.

Theorem. Let $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be holomorphic family of rational fractions, and do $\in \Lambda$. 12.5

Then λ_0 is J-stable $\Leftrightarrow J_{\lambda_0}$ varies holomorphically (on a nbhd of λ_0)

Proof \Leftarrow Suppose that J_{λ_0} varies holomorphically.

Let $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in J_{\lambda_0}$ be three points not in $PC(f_{\lambda_0})$.

From the conjugacy relation (*), we infer that $\tilde{f}_{\lambda_0}(z_j) \notin PC(f_{\lambda_0})$.

In fact, we just need to care for $PC(f_{\lambda_0}) \cap \tilde{J}_{\lambda_0}$.

The critical points in \tilde{J}_{λ_0} also follow the holomorphic motion, and they send critical points to critical points because (*) is valid on the totally invariant sets \tilde{J}_{λ_0} , and we just count the number of preimages to compute the local degree. Again by (*) the orbits of the critical points in \tilde{J}_{λ_0} follow the holomorphic motion.

It follows by Montel theorem that $\{\lambda \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\lambda}^n(z_j)\}$ is a normal family, i.e., $\lambda_0 \in \text{Stab}(P)$ (the three maps $\tilde{f}_{\lambda}(z_j)$ are all distinct by injectivity of holomorphic motion, and distinct from $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\lambda}^n(c_{\lambda,j})$). We used already this argument: it is Montel plus a computation with the cross ratio between the four points.

\Rightarrow Suppose $\lambda_0 \in \text{Stab}(P)$, and U a nbhd of λ_0 where $\{\lambda \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\lambda}^n(c_{\lambda,j})\}$ is normal.

We claim that the local stability of the repelling cycles holds on all U .

This will imply the holomorphic motion of J_{λ} on U as explained above.

We may assume $\beta(\lambda_0)$ to be a repelling fixed point (ν_1 to replacing ν_0 on stable).

Suppose by contradiction that $\beta(\lambda)$ (given by the complex function theory) is not defined on the whole U .

By the previous prop, this would imply that we can find a path between λ_0 and $\lambda \in U$ so that $|f_{\lambda_0}'(\beta(\lambda))| < 1$ (attracting fixed point).

This would contradict the normality of the critical orbits.

- $z(\lambda)$ is attracting in the nbhd V^+ of λ_1 ,
- We know that $\exists c_\lambda$ critical point in the basin of $z(\lambda)$:

$$f_\lambda^n(c_\lambda) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} z(\lambda).$$

Hence $\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda^n(c_\lambda)$ converges to the map $\lambda \mapsto z(\lambda)$ on V^+ .

Similarly, $z(\lambda)$ is repelling in the nbhd V^- of λ_0 , and the orbit of c_λ for $\lambda \in V^-$ does not converge towards $z(\lambda)$.

Hence $\{f_\lambda^n(c_\lambda)\}$ is not normal on U . (The limit of any subsequence should be $z(\lambda)$ on the whole U , by analytic continuation, but it cannot). □

Other characterisations of $\text{Stab}(f)$.

Theorem: $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in U}$ holomorphic family of rational maps on \mathbb{P}^1 , d.o.b. TFAE:

- $\lambda_0 \in \text{Stab}(f)$
- $\exists U$ nbhd of λ_0 , $\forall \lambda \in U$, $c_{i,\lambda} \in J_\lambda \Leftrightarrow c_{i,\lambda_0} \in J_{\lambda_0}$.
- The number $N(\lambda)$ of contracting cycles of f_λ is constant in a nbhd of λ_0 .
- $\exists U$ nbhd of λ_0 s.t. any neutral cycle of f_λ , $\lambda \in U$ is persistent.

Def: A neutral (non-different) periodic point z_λ of f_λ is ^(different) persistent if.

$\exists z: U \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}, z(\lambda) = z_\lambda, f_\lambda^m(z(\lambda)) = z(\lambda)$ and $|(f_\lambda^m)'(z(\lambda))| = 1 \quad \forall \lambda \in U$.

Proof: (1 \Rightarrow 2) $\lambda_0 \in \text{Stab}(f) \Rightarrow J_\lambda$ varies holomorphically.

\Rightarrow The local degree of points $z(\lambda) \in J_\lambda$ is preserved by the holomorphic motion (see previous proof), $\hat{f}_\lambda(z)$, and we conclude.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) The idea is to control the convergence of orbits of critical points towards contracting cycles.

Pick three repelling periodic points $z_1(d_0), z_2(d_0), z_3(d_0)$.

Up to shrinking U , we may assume such points can be followed holomorphically:

$$\exists \lambda \mapsto z_j(\lambda) \circ J_\lambda, \lambda \in U.$$

By hypothesis, $G_2 \circ F_2 \Leftrightarrow G_{d_0} \circ F_{d_0}$.

If this is the case, the $\lambda \mapsto P_\lambda^*(C_\lambda)$ avoids $z_j(\lambda)$ $j=1,2,3$, and by Montel (or cross ratio argument), that \hat{f} is normal.

Let $d_1 \in U$ be any parameter, and z_1 an attracting fixed point for f_{d_1} (we replace f_1 by some iterate $f_{d_1}^{(m)}$).

Then $\exists C_{d_1} \in F_{d_1}(n\ell_{f_{d_1}})$ so that $f_{d_1}^n(C_{d_1}) \xrightarrow{n} z_1$

Let $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ be the unique holomorphic map defined in the nbhd of d_1 obtained by following z_1 : $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ is fixed, attracting (if $\|\lambda - d_1\| < 1$) and $\hat{z}(d_1) = z_1$.

By equicontinuity of $\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda^n(C_\lambda)$, we obtain that $f_\lambda^n(C_\lambda)$ converges towards $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ for $\|\lambda - d_1\| < 1$. (in a nbhd U of d_1)

In particular, all adhærence values of $f_\lambda^n(C_\lambda)$ are given by $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ on this nbhd.

We extend $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ in all U , by setting $\hat{z}(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_\lambda^n(C_\lambda)$

(we saw that $\{\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda^n(C_\lambda)\}$ is normal on U , and converges on U_1 , hence converges on U).

By construction, $f_{d_1}(\hat{z}(d_1)) = \hat{z}(d_1)$, i.e., $\hat{z}(d_1)$ is a fixed point of f_{d_1} , and it must be contracting, since a critical point converges towards it.

Property (iii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow i) Let N_λ be the number of contracting cycles of f_λ .

We suppose $N_\lambda \equiv N_{\lambda_0}$ in a nbhd U of λ_0 .

If z_0 is a repelling cycle of f_{λ_0} , then either 1) it stays repelling, or 2) it becomes attracting for some $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$. This second option contradicts the constancy of N_λ .

This implies that $\text{Rep}(f_\lambda)$ moves holomorphically and so does J_λ , its closure.

(i) \Rightarrow iv). we have that J_λ moves holomorphically on a nbhd U of λ_0 .

Let $z(\lambda_1)$ an indifferent fixed point of f_{λ_1} .

- If $z(\lambda_1) \in J_{\lambda_1}$, then it is a Siegel point.

Being the multiplier $\neq 1$, we can follow it holomorphically and get $z(\lambda) = f_\lambda(z(\lambda))$.

If $f'_\lambda(z(\lambda))$ is not constant, then there are values of λ arbitrarily close to λ_1 s.t. ~~$z(\lambda)$~~ $z(\lambda)$ is repelling $\Rightarrow z(\lambda) \notin J_\lambda$, against the existence of a holomorphic motion.

- If $z(\lambda_1) \notin J_{\lambda_1}$, then it is the holomorphic motion that gives a fixed point $z(\lambda) := \phi_\lambda(z(\lambda_0))$ in J_λ . In particular $|f'_{\lambda_1}(z(\lambda_1))| \geq 1$. For λ close to λ_1 , ~~one~~ and $f'_{\lambda_1}(z(\lambda))$ is constant on λ (~~or it would be open, against~~). \curvearrowleft

Hence $z(\lambda_1)$ is an persistent indifferent fixed point.

(iv) \Rightarrow iii) Let U be a simply connected nbhd of λ_0 where contracting cycles stay contracting and all neutral cycles stay neutral
 \uparrow (by taking U small) \uparrow (iv).

If $\lambda_0 \notin \text{Stab}(f)$, by previous results and λ -lemma, \exists repelling cycles z_λ of f_λ not stable on U , and by the proportion at the beginning, a path γ in U

joining λ_0 and λ_1 , and a holomorphic (multivalued) map

$\lambda \mapsto Z(\lambda)$, defined in a neighborhood of γ , so that $Z(\lambda_0) = z_0$, and Z_{λ_1} is an attracting cycle.

By continuity, $\exists \lambda_2$ in γ so that $Z(\lambda_2)$ is an indifferent cycle.

But then $Z(\lambda_2)$ is a non persistent indifferent cycle: a contradiction. \square

Corollary: $S\text{stab}(f)$ is an open and dense subset of Λ .

Proof: $S\text{stab}(f)$ is open by definition.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ be any parameter. Denote by $N(\lambda) = \#$ ^{contracting} cycles of f_λ (rem: $N(\lambda) \leq 2d-2$, $d = \deg f_i$):

Any neighborhood U of λ_0 contains a parameter λ_U which maximizes $N(\lambda)$ on U (just because $N(\lambda) \leq 2d-2$ uniformly).

But contracting cycles are stable under small perturbations, and $N(\lambda)$ can only increase (and not decrease) under small perturbation.
Hence $N(\lambda) = N(\lambda_U)$ on a neighborhood of λ_U ; which gives

$\lambda_U \in S\text{stab}(f)$ from the previous theorem.

Hence $S\text{stab}(f)$ is dense in Λ . \square

Hyperbolic maps and Stable periodicities.

Hyperbolic maps are a class of rational maps $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}}^S$ with "nice" dynamical properties. (see Milnor, §13)

Def: A rational map $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}}^S$ is called (dynamically) hyperbolic if f is expanding on itsJulia set $J = J_f$:

There is a conformal metric μ , defined on a neighborhood V of J , so that the derivative Df_z of any point $z \in V$ satisfies the inequality ~~$|Df_z| > k$~~ $|Df_z(v)|_\mu > k |v|_\mu$ for some $k > 1$.

$$\|Df_z(v)\|_\mu > \|v\|_\mu \quad \forall v \in T_z \hat{\mathbb{C}}, v \neq 0.$$

Rem: being J compact, this implies that the constant $k > 1$ is const .

$$\|Df_z\|_\mu \geq k \quad \forall z \in V$$

let f be

Theorem: rational map $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}}^S$ of degree $d \geq 2$. \Leftrightarrow TFAE:

(1) f is (dynamically) hyperbolic.

(2) $\overline{PC(f)} \cap J(f) = \emptyset$.

(3) the orbit of any critical point converges towards a contracting cycle.

Rem: In this case, the orbit of any $z \in F(f)$ converges to an attracting cycle.

In particular, we have no parabolic cycles. Moreover, since boundary of Siegel disks and omega points belong to $\overline{PC(f)} \cap J(f)$, we don't have them either, and all cycles of f are either contracting or repelling.

Other properties: 1.) $J(f)$ has area 0. (see Bertelot-Magnus)

2.) If $J(f)$ is connected, then it is locally connected (see Milnor)

3) $\dim_H J_f < 2$, where \dim_H is the Hausdorff dimension. [Bekerman-Mayer] (12.11)

Proof of the theorem:

Set $U = \emptyset \setminus \overline{PC(f)}$ and $V = f^{-1}(U)$

As in the proof of "boundary of Siegel and Cocco in $\overline{PC(f)}$ ",

$f: V \rightarrow U$ is a d -fold covering map (since we avoid critical points/values)

We may also assume $f \neq z \mapsto z^{\pm d}$, and all connected components of U, V are hyperbolic.

($2 \Rightarrow 1$): Suppose $\overline{PC(f)} \cap J(f) = \emptyset$, i.e., $J(f) \subset U$. $\Rightarrow f(z) \in U$.

If $V = U$, then $\{f\}$ is normal (by hyperbolicity of V), and we get a contradiction.

More precisely, any connected component of V that intersects J must be smaller than the corresponding connected component of U .

It follows (as in last time) that $\|df_z\|_V > 1$ ↑ pointwise.

Since $J(f) \subset V$, f is (dynamically) hyperbolic.

($3 \Rightarrow 2$) see the remark above.

($1 \Rightarrow 3$): We have that f is expanding with respect to a conformal metric on some neighborhood W of $J(f)$ (we could actually just work with the spherical metric). In particular, $E(f) \cap W = \emptyset$ ($\#$ critical points are not expanding). Let $N_\varepsilon(J)$ be the ε -neighborhood of J . (w.r.t. μ).

Pick $\varepsilon \ll 1$ so that $\forall z \in N_\varepsilon(J)$ can be joined to J in W by at least one minimal geodesic.

2) $f^{-1}(N_\varepsilon(J)) \subset W$.

For any $z \in f^{-1}(N_\varepsilon(J))$, $\text{dist}(z, J) \leq \frac{\text{dist}(f(z), J)}{k}$ (*)

It follows that $\forall z \in f^{-1}(N_\varepsilon(J))$, $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(z) \in N_\varepsilon(J)\} < +\infty$.

In fact no point of $N_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{z})^c$ can map inside $N_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{z})$ (it would go against the expansion (★)), while if $\mathfrak{z}_0 \in N_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{z}) \setminus \mathfrak{z}$, then the distance from \mathfrak{z} increases until it is $> \varepsilon$, and we are outside $N_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{z})$.

Then any accumulation point² of $(\mathfrak{z}_n = f^n(\mathfrak{z}_0))$ must be in F_f .

Let \hat{U} be the c.c. of F_f containing $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$. Then $\exists m \geq 1$, $f^m(U) = U$.

U can be only a contracting basin, a parabolic basin or a rotation domain.

But \mathfrak{z} cannot be parabolic (the unique accumulation point is the fixed point in S_f), nor a rotation domain:

The boundary of a rotation domain is in S_f \Rightarrow we would have invariant under the action of f in N_ε , against (★).

This means that all ~~contracting~~ Fatou components are contracting basins. In particular, ~~only~~ the orbit of every cusp-like point converges toward a contracting cycle. □

We come back to holomorphic families, showing:

Theorem: Let $f = (f_d)_{d \geq 1}$ be a family of rational maps of degree $d \geq 2$, and H be the set of $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that f_d is (dynamically) hyperbolic.

Then H is an open and closed subset of $\text{Stab}(f)$.

Proof: If f_d is hyperbolic, then all critical orbits converge towards contracting cycles. This follows that $\{\lambda \circ f_d^{-1}(\mathfrak{z}_{ij})\}$ are normal families, and $\lambda \in \text{Stab}(f)$. Being contracting cycles stable, this

also implies that H is open.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \text{Stab}(f) \setminus H$. Being f_{λ_0} not hyperbolic, $\exists c_{\lambda_0} \in I_{\lambda_0}$ or such that $(f_{\lambda_0}^n(c_{\lambda_0}))$ has accumulation to I_{λ_0} .

In the first case: λ_0 admits a neighborhood U such that the critical points c_λ of f_λ belong to $I_\lambda \cap U$ (by holomorphic motion).

For the second case, f_{λ_0} has a parabolic cycle:

(Fatou component (the one containing c_{λ_0}) is preperiodic, and only parabolic points have orbits accumulating to I_{λ_0}).

This parabolic cycle is persistent (by stability). ~~the postscript~~

In both cases, we obtain that f_λ is still non hyperbolic for λ close to λ_0 in $\text{Stab}(f)$, and $\text{Stab}(f) \setminus H$ is open.

This result implies that a connected component U of $\text{Stab}(f)$ contains a hyperbolic map, as f_λ is hyperbolic $\forall \lambda \in U$. □

Definition: A connected component U of $\text{Stab}(f)$ is called hyperbolic if $\exists \lambda \in U \cap H$, f_λ is a hyperbolic map.

Hyperbolicity conjecture: Every connected component of $\text{Stab}(f)$ is hyperbolic (\Leftrightarrow hyperbolic ~~points~~ ^{orbits} are dense in Λ).

This conjecture is open (even for $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$).

Bifurcation locus and pluripotential theory.

We saw that, given $f_\lambda : \hat{\mathbb{P}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ rational map, one can construct its equilibrium measure μ_λ , so that $\text{pr}^*\mu_\lambda = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} G_\lambda$, (in the sense of distribution currents) with $G_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{d^n} \log \|F_\lambda^n(z)\|$ the Green function associated to F_λ (the lift of f_λ) to $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{1_0\}$.

There is an analogous result (due to DeMarco), for families:

Theorem (DeMarco): $f = (f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ holomorphic family of rational maps in \mathbb{P}^1 , then there exists a closed positive $(1,1)$ -current T_{bif} on Λ so that ~~support~~
 $\text{supp } T_{\text{bif}} = \text{Bif}(f)$.

current := "form with distributions or coefficients".

Idea of construction: Define $H(\lambda) = \sum_j G_\lambda(c_{\lambda,j})$, where $c_{\lambda,j}$ are the marked critical points.

$H(\lambda)$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{A}$, and the current T_{bif} is defined as: $T_{\text{bif}} = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} H$.